

UNITED STAT. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

O7/636,246 12/31/90 NILSSEN

OLE K. NILSSEN

CAESAR DRIVE
BARRINGTON, IL 60010

252 DATE MAILED: 03/27/91 This is a coard infortion from this exertition in the model purpose of COMM, SCICNER OF PATHABS ARE HADDENARD. This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on_ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire _ month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 6. 🗖 L.O. G. D. _____ 5, Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. 🛛 Claims /-/2 are pending in the application. Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims 3. Claims ___ 4, Claims _/-/2 5. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 6. Claims 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. _. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ _. has (have) been
approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _ , has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. 🔲 Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has 🔘 been received 📋 not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. ____ ___ ; filed on _ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Serial No. 636,246

Art Unit 252

The drawings are objected to because Figs. 2 and 4 have "39" labeling different elements. A print showing the proposed drawing corrections in red ink is required with the next response. Correction is required.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. On page 5, lines 17-23, regarding Fig. 4, "39" labels an element that is different than the element "39" labeled in Fig. 2.
 - b. On page 7, line 37, "equel" should be --equal--.
- c. On page 9, line 41, "impdance" should be --impedance--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite for the following reasons:

- a. Claim 1, line 15, "substantively" should be --substantially-- or deleted since the lack of an amount of duration being claimed is not substantive.
- b. Claim 11, line 21, "transistor, but only" should be
 --transistor only-- since it is clear that "only" provides for
 the exception and "but" therefore causes a redundant meaning.

Serial No. 636,246

Art Unit 252

c. Claim 12, line 18, --a-- should be inserted before "part" since "part" has no antecedence.

d. Claims 2, 3 and 7, there is no antecedence in the specification for "one tenth" shorter duration period, or for any particular times such as 2, 8 or 32 microseconds.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Walker.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Serial No. 636,246

Art Unit 252

Claims 2, 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Walker.

The reference discloses means as claimed in claims 1, 4-6 and 8-12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate particular loads in the reference circuit so that particular values for frequency, and voltage are required to be supplied, and thus a particular set of values of the essentially square wave reference circuit output waveform as claimed are provided.

The references by Walden and Arlt et al are made of record as having similarities with the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Mis at telephone number (703) 308-0613.

DAVID MIS
EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 252

Mis/EW March 26, 1991